

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/672,066 | 09/28/2000 | Jay S. Walker | 00-058 | 7007 | |
| 22927 | 7590 10/17/2003 | | EXAM | INER | |
| WALKER DIGITAL FIVE HIGH RIDGE PARK | | | MYHRE, JAMES W | | |
| STAMFORD, CT 06905 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | · | 3622 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/672,066

Applicant(s)

Walker et al

Examiner

James W. Myhre

Art Unit 3622



| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| THE | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. cions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In | | | | | | | |
| mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rej | g date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the period term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | the statutory minimum of and will expire SIX (6) I the application to become | of thirty (30 MONTHS fr me ABANDO | 0) days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) 💢 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 28, 2 | 2000 | | | | | | |
| 2a) 🗌 | This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This act | tion is non-final. | e. | | | | | |
| 3) 🗆 | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| | Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> | <u>~</u> | | is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| 4 | la) Of the above, claim(s) | The sure of the su | | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | | is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> | | | is/are rejected. | | | | |
| | Claim(s) | | | | | | | |
| 8) 🗌 | Claims | are | subject | to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗆 | 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: | a) 🗆 a | pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) 🗌 | 2) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| | 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ∟ | a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: | | | | | | | |
| • | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| ; | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 14) ∐ a) ⊑ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | | |
| _ | tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2&3 6) Other: | | | | | | | | |

Examiner's Affidavit

I, James W. Myhre, do hereby affirm the following facts are based on personal experience. While working at a Radio Shack™ store in 1991 and 1992, I sold numerous cellular telephones (cell phones) to customers. The standard procedure used in the sale was once the customer had indicated his desire to purchase a specific cell phone and brought the item to the point of sale, I would cite the current price of the cell phone to the customer (e.g. \$250), and then inform the customer that by signing a service agreement with Sprint™ he could buy the cell phone at a discounted price (e.g. \$50). If the customer was interested, I would present a list of service plans offered by SprintTM with their costs and the amount of discount each would bring on the current purchase of the cell phone. Once the customer had chosen a plan and completed the Sprint™ application form, I would fax the application to SprintTM. The application form included such information as the customer's name, address, telephone number, employer, financial data, etc., which Sprint[™] would use to approve or disapprove the application. Normally within 5-10 minutes I would receive a telephonic response from SprintTM with an approval number and activation number. I would then complete the transaction by charging the customer the discounted amount for the cell phone and activate the cell phone using the activation number received from Sprint™. Once the transaction was complete, Sprint™ would reimburse Radio ShackTM for the amount of the discount plus a processing fee.

Attested to:

April 11, 2003

U. S. Patent & Trademark Office

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8-21, 23-27, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Girouard et al</u> (4,982,346) in view of <u>Humble</u> (4,833,308).
- Claims 1, 11, 27, and 29: <u>Girouard</u> discloses a method, program, and apparatus for handling a transaction, comprising:
- a. Receiving product information pertaining to a product to be included in the transaction (col 16, lines 30-33);
 - b. Performing a pricing activity (col 4, lines 56-57 and col 17, lines 6-36); and
 - c. Determining a pricing benefit for the transaction (col 4, lines 36-39 and 52-55).

While <u>Girouard</u> discloses the above steps, it is not explicitly disclosed that the pricing benefit is a result of a product initially selected by the customer. However, <u>Humble</u> discloses a similar method, program, and apparatus for handling a transaction which also discloses the customer first selecting a product (col 2, lines 33-36) and then a benefit (coupon) being

Page 3

Art Unit: 3622

determined for the selected product (col 2, lines 41-53). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the customer in Girouard to select the desired product prior to displaying the benefit associated therewith. One would have been motivate to perform the steps in this order in order to better target the benefit to a product that the customer desires;, thus, increasing the likelihood of acceptance by the customer.

Claims 2 and 12: <u>Girouard</u> and <u>Humble</u> disclose a method and apparatus for handling a transaction as in Claims 1 and 11 above, and <u>Girouard</u> further discloses scanning the bar code of the product (col 4, line 61 - col 5, line 14).

Claim 3: Girouard and Humble disclose a method for handling a transaction as in Claim 1 above, and Girouard further discloses the system having a keyboard for data entry by the customer. While, Girouard does not explicitly disclose that the product data is entered as alphanumeric data using the keyboard, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to accept alphanumeric data as input to identify the product. One would have been motivated to allow the customer to use the keyboard in Girouard to input alphanumeric product data in order to permit products without bar codes, such as fresh produce, to be entered into the system.

Claims 5 and 6: <u>Girouard</u> and <u>Humble</u> disclose a method for handling a transaction as in Claim 1 above, and <u>Girouard</u> further discloses the pricing activity including viewing an advertisement (col 4, lines 56-57) or completing a survey (col 17, lines 6-36).

Art Unit: 3622

Claim 8: <u>Girouard</u> and <u>Humble</u> disclose a method for handling a transaction as in Claim 1 above, and <u>Girouard</u> further discloses outputting the benefit (e.g. printing the coupon)(col 4, line 61 - col 5, line 15 and col 15, lines 9-11).

Page 4

Claims 9 and 10: <u>Girouard</u> and <u>Humble</u> disclose a method for handling a transaction as in Claim 1 above, and <u>Girouard</u> further discloses that the benefit is a discount on the product or transaction (col 4, lines 36-39 and 52-55).

Claims 13-15: <u>Girouard</u> and <u>Humble</u> disclose an apparatus for handling a transaction as in Claim 11 above, and <u>Girouard</u> further discloses the customer using a computer with all of the usual peripheral devices to include a touch screen and external communication capabilities (col 4, line 61 - col 5, line 14).

Claims 16 and 20: <u>Girouard</u> and <u>Humble</u> disclose an apparatus for handling a transaction, comprising:

An enclosure (kiosk) containing a display screen, a keyboard, and a processor which has been programmed to receive input via the keyboard, guide the customer in performing a pricing activity, determining a pricing benefit, and displaying the appropriate controls on the display screen as discussed in Claim 1 above. While it is not explicitly disclosed that the enclosure including seating for the customer and a privacy means for covering the entrance, Official Notice is taken that this type of enclosure (kiosk) is old and well known in the marketing arts. For example, for the past several decades photo kiosks have been prevalent within malls and other large stores. These kiosks are configured to allow a customer to enter the enclosure, drawn a

Application/Control Number: 09/672,066 Page 5

Art Unit: 3622

curtain over the entrance, and sit down on an opposing seat when operating the kiosk. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the customer in <u>Girouard</u> to cover the entrance and to sit down while operating the system. One would have been motivated to include these features in order to facilitate the kiosk's use by physically handicapped customers, i.e. those who cannot stand at an upright kiosk for long periods of time, and to provide a degree of privacy when the customer is scanning in products which may cause embarrassment to some.

Claim 17: <u>Girouard</u> and <u>Humble</u> disclose an apparatus for handling a transaction as in Claim 16 above, and further disclose entering product data pertaining to a product to be included in the transaction as discussed in Claim 1 above.

Claims 18 and 25: <u>Girouard</u> and <u>Humble</u> disclose an apparatus for handling a transaction as in Claims 16 and 17 above, and <u>Girouard</u> further discloses scanning the bar code of the product (col 4, line 61 - col 5, line 14) as in Claims 2 and 12 above.

Claim 19: <u>Girouard</u> and <u>Humble</u> disclose an apparatus for handling a transaction as in Claim 16 above, and <u>Girouard</u> further discloses including means for storing products selected for purchase by the customer, i.e. a database containing the item list (col 15, line 66 - col 16, line 39).

Claim 21: <u>Girouard</u> and <u>Humble</u> disclose an apparatus for handling a transaction as in Claim 16 above, and <u>Girouard</u> further discloses the customer using a computer with all of the usual peripheral devices to include external communication capabilities (col 4, line 61 - col 5, line 14).

Page 6

Art Unit: 3622

Claims 23 and 24: <u>Girouard</u> and <u>Humble</u> disclose an apparatus for handling a transaction as in Claim 16 above, and <u>Girouard</u> further discloses using a printer to print the benefit code (coupon)(col 4, line 61 - col 5, line 14 and col 15, lines 9-11).

Claim 26: <u>Girouard</u> and <u>Humble</u> disclose an apparatus for handling a transaction as in Claim 16 above, and <u>Girouard</u> further discloses entering data using a magnetic strip card reader (col 15, line 66 - col 16, line 39 and col 22, lines 59-61).

3. Claims 7, 22, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Girouard et al (4,982,346) in view of Humble (4,833,308) as applied to claims above, and further in view of Off et al (5,173,851).

Claim 7: <u>Girouard</u> and <u>Humble</u> disclose a method for handling a transaction as in Claim 1 above, however neither reference explicitly discloses communicating the benefit directly to the POS terminal. <u>Off</u> discloses a similar method for handling a transaction in which the benefit (coupon) may be printed out or sent directly to the POS terminal through the network (col 12, lines 56-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to send the benefit in <u>Girouard</u> to the POS terminal of the retailer who offered the benefit. One would have been motivated to send the benefit directly to the POS terminal in order to eliminate the need to print the coupon as discussed by <u>Off</u>.

Claim 22: <u>Girouard</u> and <u>Humble</u> disclose an apparatus for handling a transaction as in Claim 21 above, however neither reference explicitly discloses communicating the benefit directly

Application/Control Number: 09/672,066 Page 7

Art Unit: 3622

to the POS terminal. Off discloses a similar method for handling a transaction in which the benefit (coupon) may be printed out or sent directly to the POS terminal through the network (col 12, lines 56-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to send the benefit in Girouard to the POS terminal of the retailer who offered the benefit. One would have been motivated to send the benefit directly to the POS terminal in order to eliminate the need to print the coupon as discussed by Off.

Claim 28: Girouard and Humble disclose a method for handling a transaction, comprising:

- a. Receiving product information pertaining to a product to be included in the transaction (col 16, lines 30-33);
 - b. Performing a pricing activity (col 4, lines 56-57 and col 17, lines 6-36); and
- c. Determining a discounted price for the transaction (col 4, lines 36-39 and 52-55).

While <u>Girouard</u> discloses the above steps, it is not explicitly disclosed that the pricing benefit is a result of a product initially selected by the customer. However, <u>Humble</u> discloses a similar method, program, and apparatus for handling a transaction which also discloses the customer first selecting a product (col 2, lines 33-36) and then a benefit (coupon) being determined for the selected product (col 2, lines 41-53). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the customer in <u>Girouard</u> to select the desired product prior to displaying the benefit associated therewith. One would have been motivate to perform the steps in this order in order to better target the benefit to

a product that the customer desires;, thus, increasing the likelihood of acceptance by the customer.

While neither reference explicitly discloses that the discounted price for the transaction is determined (when using the coupon), such a step is inherent. Furthermore, Off discloses a similar method for handling transaction which also determines and displays the discounted price to the customer (col 5, lines 21-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine the discounted price for the product in Girouard. One would have been motivated to determine and display the discounted price in order to allow the customer to make a more informed decision on whether to purchase the discounted item or not.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Girouard et al</u> (4,982,346) in view of <u>Humble</u> (4,833,308) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of <u>Myhre</u> (Examiner's Affidavit, April 11, 2003).

Claim 4: Girouard and Humble disclose a method for handling a transaction as in Claim 1 above, but neither reference explicitly disclose that the pricing activity includes agreeing to or completing a transaction with another retailer. However, as shown in the Examiner's Affidavit (Myhre, April 11, 2003) it is old and well known for a customer to receive a reward at one merchant for agreeing to or completing a transaction with another merchant (e.g. signing up with a cellular phone service company in order to receive a discount when buying the actual cellular

Art Unit: 3622

phone from a retailer). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the

Page 9

art at the time the invention was made to include such an activity as one of the pricing activities

performed by the customer in Girouard. One would have been motivated to include this type of

activity in order to expand the promotional rewards available to the customer.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Exr. James W. Myhre whose telephone number is (703) 308-7843. The

examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 6:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eric Stamber, can be reached on (703) 305-8469. The fax phone number for Formal

or Official faxes to Technology Center 3600 is (703) 872-9306. Draft or Informal faxes may be

submitted to (703) 872-9327 or directly to the examiner at (703) 746-5544.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

JWM

October 1, 2003

ames W. Myhre

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3622